

CAP AND TRADE  
111TH CONGRESS  
1ST SESSION H. R. 2454

To create clean energy jobs, achieve energy independence, reduce global warming pollution and transition to a clean energy economy.  
Site address to H. R. 2454

[frwebgate.access.gpo.gov/cgi-bin/getdoc.cgi?dbname=111\\_cong\\_bills&docid=f:h2454ih.txt.pdf](http://frwebgate.access.gpo.gov/cgi-bin/getdoc.cgi?dbname=111_cong_bills&docid=f:h2454ih.txt.pdf)

Cap and Trade. What does Cap and Trade mean? If one believes the sentence above that supposedly describes the Bill's goals, it seems like a great idea. Does this Bill really do what it states above? If one delves into the Bill itself, there will be another story! Cap and Tax is a more fitting name for this Bill, as that is exactly what it does! It caps emissions and taxes excess emissions that go beyond the particular cap. Basically, this bill allows pollution at the same rate as today, just as long as one pays for carbon credits that allow one to pollute. The same goes for companies and corporations. Does this reduce the so called "global warming"? Of course it does not! But it does "line the pockets" of the people that are able to sell and/or trade carbon credits.

And that, as We The People are aware, is what H.R. 2454 is all about. The bill does nothing for the environment or so-called "man made global warming". This Bill is merely a "get-rich-quick -scheme" created by corrupt politicians and corporations to allow them a back door to our wallets. As if these people haven't enough money and power already!

Politicians, with a stake in companies pushing green technology, also stand to make millions of dollars. Al Gore comes to mind first and foremost. If one looks at the Bills being introduced, in lieu of Cap and Trade, Congress also pushes for green technology and jobs. Hmmm, is one hand scratching the back of another here?

Not only does the Bill make certain people with stakes in certain companies richer, it allows them the power to control resources. It allows "them" to dictate what one can and cannot use, and how much of the CAN, a taxpayer can use. This brings a few questions to mind. Does this Bill seem like something that should be addressed by politicians that stand to make a lot of money, if passed? Has it, or would it be debated with the best interest of "We the People" in mind? Or are politicians placing their personal financial interests before "We the People" in the debating process?

We The People will NOT tolerate ANY more of the government's attempts to "CONTROL OUR LIVES"! We The People will write, call, scream, yell and protest this Bill until we are heard! Do you hear US NOW, Congress?? Take this piece of political thievery that you call legislation and toss in the trash, where it belongs.